This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,420	04/12/2001	Vinit Jain	AUS920000847US1	1611
759	90 09/14/2004		EXAM	INER
Duke W. Yee Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP,			CHANG, SUNRAY	
P.O. Box 802334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75380			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• .		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/833,420	JAIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sunray Chang	2121			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2001.					
, —	•	action is non-final.				
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001 and 12 A</u>		b) objected to by the			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12, 22, 34, and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities: "units of wok data" has been disclosed in claims without explanations. Appropriate correction is required.

The term, "unit of wok data", has been interpreted as "unit of work data" hereinafter.

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "andreceive" has been disclosed in claim 22. Appropriate correction is required.

The term, "andreceive", has been interpreted as "and receive" hereinafter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter, which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The term "secondary bus" in claim 22 is vague and indefinite. Because the term "secondary bus" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The explanation in page 10 of specification for bus recites system bus, I/O bus, local bus, and PCI bus.

Examiner could not be sure which bus the "secondary bus" is disclosed and "secondary bus" has been interpreted as "local bus" hereinafter.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robert J. Block (U.S. Patent No. 6,658,473, and referred to as Block hereinafter).
- 5. **Regarding independent claims 1, 23, and 39**, Block teaches,
- A method [method and apparatus, Col. 2, Line 58] in a data processing system [multiple server computer environments, Col. 2, Line 59] for determining [determines. Col. 2, Line 61] an optimal capacity of a server [server's capacity and load, Col. 2, Line 61] within a set of servers [multiple resources, Col. 2, Line 62].
- Dynamically [periodically, Col. 2, Line 61] collecting [take into account, Col. 3, Line 13 –
 14] resource use and units of work data from the server [factors, Col. 14 21]; and

Art Unit: 2121

Page 4

Identifying the optimal capacity for the server [determines the server's capacity and load,
 Col. 2, Line 61] using the resource use and unit of work data from the server [factors, Col. 14 – 21].

6. Regarding dependent claims 2 and 24,

Directing [assigned, Col. 3, Line 25] connection requests [session, Col. 3, Line 25] to servers within the set of servers [selection of a server, Col. 3, Line 27] using the optimal capacity for the server [relative desirability, Col. 3, Line 27 – 28].

7. Regarding dependent claims 3 and 25, Block teaches,

The resource use and unit of work data is collected [group manager process, Col. 2, Line 60] in response to an event determines the server's capacity and load, Col. 2, Line 61].

8. Regarding dependent claims 4 and 26,

• The event is a periodic event [periodically, Col. 2, Line 61].

9. Regarding dependent claims 5 and 27,

Optimal capacity identified as follows:

$$Ri = Ei/(E1 + E2 ... En)$$
 [ratio of efficiency]

$$Pi = Ri * 100$$

Art Unit: 2121

wherein, Ei is an efficiency of server i; Ri is a relative efficiency of server i; Pi is the optimal capacity of server i; n is a number of servers in the set of servers; Dil is an average number of units of work handled by server i since the last time data was sent to the data processing system; anal Di2 is an average resource use for server i since the last time data was sent to the data processing system.

Block teaches, The group manager obtain a ratio which represents the capacity of any given resource divided by the total current usage of the resource added to the total expected added usage by the resource [Col. 11, Line 56 - 59 and Fig. 10].

Block further teaches a strategy code [Col. 12, Line 6-22]

10. Regarding dependent claims 6 and 28,

• The set of servers are located in a local area network [Fig. 1].

11. Regarding dependent claims 7 and 29,

• The set of servers are a set of virtual servers [virtual desktop, Col. 4, Line 48 – 49] located on the data processing system [computer system, Col. 4, Line 48].

12. Regarding dependent claims 8, 14, 30, and 36,

The resource use includes at least one of processor use, memory use, and bandwidth use [Col. 3, Line 13 - 17].

Art Unit: 2121

Page 6

13. Regarding dependent claims 9, 15, 31, and 37,

■ The unit of work data includes at least one of a number of packets and a number of connections [Col. 3, Line 18 – 22].

14. Regarding dependent claims 10 and 32,

Sending the optimal capacity [capacity and load information, Col. 2, Line 63] to the server
 [broadcast to the other servers in the group, Col. 2, Line 63 – 64].

15. Regarding dependent claims 11 and 33,

Sending, by the server, the optimal capacity [determines the server's capacity and load, Col. 2, Line 61] to a load balancer [group manager process, Col. 2, Line 60], wherein the load balancer [group manager process, Col. 2, Line 60] directs [broadcast, Col. 2, Line 63] connection requests to the set of servers [other servers in the group, Col. 63 – 64] using the optimal capacity [capacity and load information, Col. 2, Line 63].

16. Regarding independent claims 12, 34, and 40,

A method [method and apparatus, Col. 2, Line 58] in a data processing system [multiple server computer environments, Col. 2, Line 59] for determining [determines. Col. 2, Line 61] an optimal capacity of a server [server's capacity and load, Col. 2, Line 61] within a set of servers [multiple resources, Col. 2, Line 62].

Application/Control Number: 09/833,420 Page 7

Art Unit: 2121

■ Tracking resource use and units of work since a last collection of resource use and units of work data from a server [Group manager process determines whether the session exists on at least one server for the token, Col. 11, Line 29 – 30];

- Sending the resource use and units of work performed data to a server in response to an event
 [each group manager process residing on each server will determine resource capacity and
 load, Col. 11, Line 63 65]; and
- Receiving an identification of an optimal capacity from the server [compute the desirability,
 Col. 11, Line 67] in response to sending [pass, Col. 11, Line 65] the resource use and units of work performed data [resource utilization and load, Col. 11, Line 66].

17. Regarding dependent claims 13 and 35,

Sending [pass, Col. 11, Line 65] the identification received from the server [resource utilization and load, Col. 11, Line 11] to a load balancer [group manager processes, Col. 66 – 67].

18. Regarding dependent claims 16 and 38,

Responsive to an absence of a reception of an identification of the optimal capacity within a selected amount of time [first available server, Col. 11, Line 12], initiating [initiate, Col. 11, Line 12] a process to replace the server [session, Col. 11, Line 12] and perform identifications of optimal capacity for the set of servers [determine resource capacity and load, Col. 11, Line 64 – 65].

Page 8

Art Unit: 2121

19. Regarding independent claims 17 and 22,

- A data processing system [computer, 200, Fig. 2]
- A bus system [218, Fig. 2];
- A communications unit connected to the bus, wherein data is sent and received using the communications unit [218, Fig. 2];
- A memory connected to the bus system, wherein a set of instructions are located in the memory [212, 214, and 215, Fig. 2]; and
- A processor unit connected to the bus system [213, Fig. 2], wherein the processor unit executes the set of instructions [executed by CPU, Col. 6, Line 37] to dynamically collect resource use and units of work data from the server ["measured", Col. 10, Line 45 55]; and identify an optimal capacity for the server [determines system capacity and utilization on each server, Col. 10, Line 38 40] using the resource use and unit of work data from the server [factors, Col. 10, Line 45 55].

20. Regarding dependent claim 18,

• The bus system includes a primary bus [319, Fig. 3] and a secondary bus [Ebus, 302, Fig. 3].

21. Regarding dependent claim 19,

• The processor unit includes a single processor [213, Fig. 2].

22. Regarding dependent claim 20,

■ The processor unit includes a plurality of processors [Col. 5, Line 48 – 54].

Application/Control Number: 09/833,420 Page 9

Art Unit: 2121

optimal data transfer.

23. Regarding dependent claim 21,

• The communications unit is an Ethernet adapter [Ethernet & EBUS, 302, Fig. 3].

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jindal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,327,622) discloses a load balancing request. Primak et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,389,448) discloses a distributing load, a sever cluster, a percentage of client requests, regulating data packets. Yoshida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,401,121) discloses loads, server. Fanning (U.S. Patent No. 6,615,308) discloses dynamically collecting resource usage, data traffic monitoring, burst length. Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,287) discloses

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-8744 or after October 12, 2004 at (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (703)308-3179 or after October 12, 2004 at (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Art Unit: 2121

Sunray Chang Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2121 Technology Center 2100 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

September 9, 2004

Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600